**Journal of Trends in Arts and Humanities**
**Print ISSN: 3007-7362**
**Online ISSN: 3007-7370**
**DOI: https://doi.org/10.61784/jtah3057**

# THE FILM INDUSTRY IN TRANSITION: TECHNOLOGY, ECONOMICS, AND THE FUTURE OF CINEMA

YuHan Xiao
*Management Department, Shanghai Technician School, Shanghai 200437, China.*

**Abstract:** The motion picture industry has undergone a profound transformation over the past three decades, evolving from a predominantly theatrical business into a multi-platform, globalized entertainment ecosystem. This article examines the structural forces reshaping cinema: the disruption of the traditional production–distribution–exhibition value chain by streaming platforms, the changing economics of blockbuster filmmaking, the accelerating adoption of digital and AI-driven production technologies, and the growth of international markets, particularly Asia. Drawing on industrial organization theory, media economics, and recent industry data, it argues that the industry is not declining but diversifying, and that its long-term health depends on balancing technological innovation with the preservation of the theatrical experience, cultural diversity, and sustainable labor practices.
**Keywords:** Film industry transformation; Streaming platforms; Theatrical exhibition; Artificial intelligence in filmmaking; Global film markets

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The Significance of Cinema

Few industries are as deeply tied to collective imagination, technological change, and economic risk as the film industry. Since the Lumière brothers screened their first short films in Paris in 1895, cinema has grown from a curiosity into one of the most influential cultural and commercial forces in the world. In formal terms, film can be understood both as an art form with a distinct grammar of narrative, mise-en-scène, and editing, and as an industrial commodity produced, distributed, and sold within structured markets [1].

### 1.2 Scope and Structure of the Article

The industry that emerged from the twentieth century—built around theatrical release windows, studio-dominated production, and centralized control of distribution—is no longer the industry that exists today. From the rise of home video in the 1980s to the digital revolution of the 2000s and the streaming explosion of the past decade, each wave of change has rewritten the rules of how films are made, financed, distributed, and consumed. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated these shifts dramatically, compressing years of change into a single season. This article explores the economic structure of the industry, the impact of streaming, the evolution of the theatrical experience, the role of emerging technology, and the expansion of global markets, concluding with a discussion of the challenges and opportunities that lie ahead.

## 2 THE TRADITIONAL VALUE CHAIN: PRODUCTION, DISTRIBUTION, EXHIBITION

### 2.1 The Classical Studio Model and the Paramount Decree

To understand the transformation, one must first grasp the industry's historical structure. The classical Hollywood model, consolidated during the studio era, integrated three stages under a single roof: production (the making of films), distribution (licensing and delivering them), and exhibition (screening them in theaters). After the landmark Paramount Decree of 1948, the studios were forced to divest their theater chains, yet they retained their dominance through control of distribution, the most lucrative link in the chain [2].

### 2.2 The Blockbuster Economy and Its Rationale

The film business is inherently unpredictable. Using extensive data on box-office revenues, De Vany and Walls demonstrated that motion-picture returns follow extreme "blockbuster" dynamics: a small number of hits generate a disproportionate share of total revenue, and average returns are misleading because the distribution of outcomes is heavily skewed [3].
This uncertainty drives the industry's risk-averse behavior. Studios therefore gravitate toward sequels, franchises, and adaptations of established intellectual property, which reduce the financial downside of unpredictability by leveraging existing brand recognition and built-in audiences [4].

© By the Author(s) 2026, under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0).

### 2.3 The System of Release Windows

Historically, revenue flowed through a carefully sequenced set of "windows": theatrical release first, followed by home video, pay television, and finally free-to-air broadcast. This windowing system was designed to maximize the total value extracted from a single title across successive distribution channels [5].
This windowing system remained remarkably stable for decades, sustaining the theatrical exhibition sector and the distinct cultural role of the movie theater.

## 3 THE STREAMING DISRUPTION

### 3.1 The Rise of Subscription Video-on-Demand

The arrival of streaming platforms—most decisively Netflix's transition from DVD-by-mail to online streaming in 2007—fundamentally dismantled the windowing system. Scholars characterize this as the emergence of "portals": internet-distributed television services that offer subscribers unlimited access to entire catalogs for a flat monthly fee, collapsing the temporal and geographic barriers that once defined distribution [6].

### 3.2 The Collapse of the Windowing System

For studios, streaming created both opportunity and existential pressure. On the one hand, platforms became the largest new buyers of content, injecting massive capital into production. On the other, they shifted the economic model from transactional (ticket sales, purchases) to subscription-based, in which individual films are valued less for direct revenue and more for their contribution to subscriber acquisition and retention.
Beyond pricing, streaming reshaped the measurement of success. A film's fate is now judged less by opening-weekend box office and more by engagement metrics such as hours viewed, completion rates, and the ability to attract new subscribers or reduce churn. This shift has altered green-lighting decisions, encouraging genres and formats—such as serialized storytelling and documentary-adjacent content—that perform well in an interface-driven, recommendation-based environment.

### 3.3 Content Inflation and the Mid-Budget Crisis

This model rewards breadth and constant supply, leading to an extraordinary expansion in content volume, with global annual original-content spending by streaming platforms reaching tens of billions of dollars. Yet this abundance has also diluted the cultural spotlight. With thousands of new titles released each year, the "event" status of cinema has fragmented, and mid-budget films—once the industry's creative backbone—have struggled to find financing in a marketplace increasingly polarized between large franchises and low-cost productions.

### 3.4 The Pandemic as Accelerant

The pandemic of 2020 accelerated the shift. With theaters closed worldwide, studios released major films directly to streaming, sometimes forgoing theatrical windows entirely. Even after reopening, many studios adopted hybrid models, compressing exclusive theatrical windows from the traditional ninety days to as little as forty-five, or releasing simultaneously online. The theatrical-first model, which had defined cinema for over a century, became one option among many rather than the default.

## 4 THE RESILIENCE OF THE THEATRICAL EXPERIENCE

### 4.1 The Unique Value of Shared Exhibition

Despite the streaming surge, reports of cinema's death have been greatly exaggerated. The theatrical experience retains a unique value that home viewing cannot replicate: the shared, immersive, ritualistic quality of watching a film on a large screen with an audience [7].

### 4.2 Premium Formats and the Event-ization of Cinema

This is why premium formats—IMAX, Dolby Cinema, 4DX—have grown in importance. Theaters are increasingly repositioning themselves as venues for "event" experiences, combining films with dining, immersive effects, and premium seating to set themselves apart from the convenience of home viewing.

### 4.3 The Post-Pandemic Recovery

Industry data confirm a partial but uneven recovery. Global box-office revenue has rebounded substantially since the pandemic trough, though it has not fully returned to pre-2020 levels in every market. The evidence points to a bifurcation: audiences are more selective about which films justify a trip to the cinema, favoring spectacle-driven

blockbusters and major cultural events, while reserving smaller, character-driven films for home streaming. Theaters are thus not disappearing but becoming more specialized and premium.
Geographically, the recovery has been uneven. Several Asian markets returned to pre-pandemic levels relatively quickly, while some Western territories continued to lag, reflecting differences in consumer habits and the speed with which audiences returned to shared spaces. Per-capita attendance remains below historical peaks, and exhibitors increasingly rely on premium ticket prices and ancillary in-theater revenue to compensate for lower footfall.

## 5 TECHNOLOGY RESHAPES THE CRAFT OF CINEMA

### 5.1 From Film Stock to Digital and CGI

Technological innovation has always been central to filmmaking. Digital cinematography replaced film stock, reducing costs and democratizing access, while computer-generated imagery expanded the limits of what could be placed on screen.

### 5.2 Virtual Production and Real-Time Rendering

More recently, virtual production—using real-time rendering and LED volumes, as popularized by productions such as The Mandalorian—has blurred the line between live action and digital environments, enabling filmmakers to shoot against photorealistic backgrounds rather than on location or against green screens.

### 5.3 Artificial Intelligence: Opportunity and Controversy

Artificial intelligence is now the industry's most debated frontier. Generative AI tools are being applied to script analysis, pre-visualization, visual effects, dubbing and localization. Proponents argue that AI can reduce costs, accelerate workflows, and enable smaller teams to produce high-quality work; critics warn of the displacement of creative labor, the homogenization of style, and unresolved questions of copyright and authorship.
These tools also raise thorny questions about training data and attribution. Because generative models are trained on large bodies of existing work, their outputs can blur the boundary between inspiration and appropriation, complicating both copyright doctrine and the attribution of creative credit. As the technology matures, the industry will need clear norms about disclosure, consent, and the rights of artists whose work contributes to model training.

### 5.4 Labor, Copyright, and the 2023 Strikes

The 2023 Hollywood strikes, which explicitly raised concerns about AI's role in the industry, underscored that these are not merely technical questions but fundamental issues of labor rights and creative control. The long-term integration of AI will depend on negotiated frameworks that define its permissible uses and protect the economic interests of writers, actors, and below-the-line crews.

## 6 THE GLOBALIZATION OF CINEMA AND THE RISE OF ASIA

### 6.1 The Global Market Rebalancing

The center of gravity of the film business has shifted geographically. While Hollywood remains the world's largest exporter of film, its dominance is being counterbalanced by the rapid growth of international markets. Industry projections indicate that Asia-Pacific markets, led by China and India, will continue to account for an increasing share of global entertainment and media revenues over the coming years [8].
China became the world's largest theatrical market by box office in 2020, as pandemic-related disruptions hit Western markets, and India's Hindi and regional-language industries produce more films annually than any other country.

### 6.2 The Korean Wave and Non-English Success

South Korean cinema and television, propelled by the international success of films such as Parasite and series such as Squid Game, demonstrated that non-English content could achieve global commercial success, reshaping assumptions about the dominance of English-language production.
This success has in turn reshaped production strategies around the world. Producers increasingly design narratives that can travel across borders—balancing culturally specific elements with broadly legible themes—while investing in high-quality dubbing and subtitling to preserve emotional nuance. The result is a more reciprocal global exchange, in which regional storytelling traditions reach international audiences and, in some cases, are adapted into local versions by other industries.

### 6.3 Localization and Cultural Adaptation

This globalization has profound implications for both revenue and creative decisions. It diversifies revenue streams, reducing reliance on the domestic market. It also changes how films are made: filmmakers increasingly design stories with global audiences in mind, and localization—dubbing, subtitling, and cultural adaptation—has become a

sophisticated industry in its own right. At the same time, global competition raises concerns about cultural homogenization and the survival of smaller national cinemas, which must compete for both funding and audience attention in a crowded international market.

## 7 ECONOMIC PRESSURES AND THE QUESTION OF SUSTAINABILITY

### 7.1 The Profitability Pivot of Streaming Platforms

The streaming boom has not been an unqualified economic triumph. Several major platforms have reported significant losses on their content investments, and the industry as a whole faces a sustainability crisis. The logic of "spend heavily to grow subscribers" has, in recent years, given way to a focus on profitability: price increases, advertising-supported tiers, bundling, and more disciplined content budgets.

Consumers, meanwhile, face a more complex market. Rising subscription prices, the fragmentation of content across multiple services, and the reintroduction of advertising have prompted households to make more deliberate choices about which platforms to keep. For the industry, this marks the end of the era of unlimited growth and the beginning of a more disciplined competition for a finite pool of subscriber spending.

### 7.2 Consolidation and Franchise Rationalization

The industry is thus moving from a phase of expansion to one of consolidation, marked by a renewed emphasis on durable, brandable franchises. The economic pressures documented earlier—blockbuster concentration and the high cost of competing for audience attention—reinforce this shift toward scale and intellectual-property aggregation.

### 7.3 Labor Precarity and Working Conditions

There are also structural concerns about labor. The rise of gig-style, project-based employment, the concentration of production in a few locations, and the pressures of accelerated schedules have raised questions about working conditions. The long-term sustainability of the industry depends not only on financial models but on whether it can attract and retain talent in an environment of precarity and rapid change.

## 8 CONCLUSION: FROM ONE INDUSTRY TO MANY

### 8.1 A Multi-Platform Ecosystem

The film industry is not dying; it is diversifying. The single, dominant model of theatrical release has been replaced by a multi-platform ecosystem in which films are experienced on screens of every size, in every country, at the moment of the audience's choosing. This transformation has democratized access, expanded creative possibilities, and globalized the audience. It has also introduced new fragilities: economic uncertainty, labor displacement, cultural homogenization, and an overwhelming abundance of content that tests the very meaning of "attention."

### 8.2 Striking the Balance for the Next Decade

The challenge for the coming decade is to manage this transition deliberately—to preserve the theatrical experience as a cultural institution, to deploy technology in ways that empower rather than displace creators, to support the diversity of world cinemas, and to build economic models that are sustainable for studios, platforms, theaters, and filmmakers alike. If these balances can be struck, cinema will not merely survive its transformation but will enter one of the richest and most varied chapters of its history.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

## REFERENCES

[1] Bordwell D, Thompson K, Smith J. Film Art: An Introduction (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education, 2020.
[2] Gomery D. The Hollywood Studio System: A History. British Film Institute, 2005.
[3] De Vany A, Walls W D. Bose-Einstein dynamics and adaptive contracting in the motion picture industry. The Economic Journal, 1996, 106(439): 1493-1514.
[4] Elberse A. Blockbusters: Hit-making, Risk-taking, and the Big Business of Entertainment. Henry Holt and Company, 2013.
[5] Vogel H L. Entertainment Industry Economics: A Guide for Financial Analysis (9th ed.). Cambridge University Press, 2015.
[6] Lotz A D. Portals: A Treatise on Internet-Distributed Television. University of Michigan Press, 2017.
[7] Acland C R. Screen Traffic: Movies, Multiplexes, and Global Culture. Duke University Press, 2003.
[8] PwC. Global Entertainment & Media Outlook 2025-2029. PricewaterhouseCoopers, 2025.