Social Science and Management
Print ISSN: 3007-6854
Online ISSN: 3007-6862
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61784/ssm3107

HUMAN-AI SYMBIOSIS IN GLOBAL COMMERCE EDUCATION: INSTITUTIONAL ADAPTATION, CURRICULUM CO-EVOLUTION, AND EXPERIENTIAL PEDAGOGY IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS

BoFan He
School of International Business, Zhejiang Yuexiu University, Shaoxing 312000, Zhejiang, China.

Abstract: The rapid integration of autonomous artificial intelligence agents, predictive trade analytics, and multimodal generative models into multinational enterprise (MNE) operations has fundamentally disrupted the foundational assumptions of International Business (IB) higher education. Traditional IB pedagogy—anchored in static comparative advantage models, retrospective case analyses, and isolated cross-cultural taxonomies—increasingly fails to prepare graduates for an era defined by algorithmic global value chains and geopolitical fragmentation. Moving beyond superficial classroom tool adoption, this study investigates how Artificial Intelligence can systematically restructure International Business education through the theoretical lenses of Institutional Entrepreneurship, Distributed Cognition, and Experiential Learning Theory. We argue that modern global business education must cultivate Symbiotic Cross-Border Intelligence—the integrated capacity to deploy, audit, and ethically govern intelligent systems across divergent sovereign, cultural, and regulatory jurisdictions. To operationalize this paradigm, the paper constructs an Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model paired with a Four-Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem that replaces passive lecturing with live cross-border algorithmic sandboxes, regulatory friction wargames, and multilingual stakeholder simulations. Drawing upon multi-semester pedagogical implementations across international finance, global supply chain resilience, and emerging-market entry courses, we demonstrate substantial improvements in students' causal systems reasoning, hallucination forensics, and cross-cultural communicative agility. Finally, the paper addresses structural risks—including algorithmic monoculture, epistemic dependency, and extraterritorial data governance violations—and formulates an institutional compliance charter for business schools navigating the intelligent transformation of global commerce.
Keywords: Human-AI symbiosis; International Business education; Institutional adaptation; Experiential pedagogy; Algorithmic global value chains; Curriculum co-evolution

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Algorithmic Transformation of Multinational Enterprise Operations

International Business in theory and practice has long focused on dealing with cross-border issues such as tariffs, currency fluctuations, institutional gaps, and socio-cultural differences [1]. In the past, multinational companies (MNEs) dealt with cross-border friction using step-by-step market penetration, local management structures, and manual market research. However, the current development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) such as large language models (LLMs), deep reinforcement learning for logistics, and automated regulatory compliance has changed the way of doing cross-border trade [2].

Currently, companies globally use predictive networks to reconfigure their supply chain in case of maritime incidents and sanctions; generative multi-modal systems to localize marketing, product descriptions, and legal agreements in dozens of languages at once; and algorithmic price-setting on cross-border e-commerce platforms [3]. Nowadays, even micro-multinationals use cloud AI tools to carry out global penetration strategies that used to require the administrative setup of Fortune 500 companies. Therefore, the role of human IB specialists has moved from data collection to strategic framing, algorithmic review, building trust across cultures, and institutional negotiation [4]. Nevertheless, there is still a significant resistance in the structure of IB education in higher education.

1.2 The Structural Inertia of International Business Higher Education

Although some business schools have started offering data science courses as electives or providing guidelines to use generative AI for text correction, the structure of IB education is still the same as it was before the digital age.

There are three main aspects of resistance in the current state of IB education: first, epistemic staticity where the structure of IB education still uses static textbooks and case studies that show cross-border strategy as a series of deterministic steps, whereas currently global markets are non-linear and volatile due to AI [5]. Second, there is compartmentalization of disciplines where students do not learn how to combine algorithmic results with cultural and legal judgment due to separate quantitative trade strategy, qualitative institutional strategy, and cross-cultural communication in the curriculum. Finally, students do not have enough experience in simulating cross-border friction

© By the Author(s) 2026, under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0).

such as different data sovereignty regulations, sudden changes in export control, or socio-linguistic nuances, which makes it difficult for them to make decisions in global markets.

1.3 Research Objectives and Contributions

The paper aims to provide a unified and theoretical framework to change IB education in the age of AI. Instead of using AI as a way to shorten the learning process or automate the grading of students, we propose to use AI as a cognitive co-pilot and simulating the institution to change what is being taught and how it is taught.
Specifically, this study contributes to International Business education scholarship and practice in three ways:
The paper combines Distributed Cognition, Institutional Entrepreneurship, and Experiential Learning Theory to propose Symbiotic Cross-Border Intelligence as the goal of competency of students in current IB.
The paper proposes Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model that constantly keeps the curriculum in accordance with current global digital trade while retaining first principles.
It describes a Four-Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem, which has been tested in practice in the context of global supply chain management, international financial risk, and emerging markets strategy, and institutional governance on algorithmic bias, data privacy, and faculty preparedness.

2 THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS: SYMBIOTIC CROSS-BORDER INTELLIGENCE

2.1 Distributed Cognition in Human-AI Global Workflows

According to Hutchins' Distributed Cognition theory, cognition is not limited to an individual human mind, but spread out to social agents, physical objects and technology [6]. In International Business, strategic cognition is distributed among human managers and algorithms. Algorithms are good at high-dimensional pattern recognition in large trade data, fast multilingual summarization and probabilistic scenario creation, while humans are good at normative ethics, inferring implicit political messages, cultural understanding and fiduciary responsibility [7].
International Business education through the lens of distributed cognition changes the learning goal from solo memorization to cognition orchestration. Students need to understand how to divide the tasks of cross-border activities well—what sub-tasks they should delegate to AI, how to prompt and pipeline data to avoid error, and how to combine the output of AI with human contextual understanding.

2.2 Institutional Entrepreneurship and Polycentric Adaptation

DiMaggio's Institutional Entrepreneurship describes how an actor can use resources to change or create an institutional setting in an ambiguous situation [8]. In International Business, managers often deal with different institutional logics when operating across borders—different corporate governance, labor, intellectual property and digital sovereignty in home and host countries [9].
Introducing AI into cross-border activities often encounters local institutional settings. For example, an algorithm for hiring or credit assessment may not be compliant with anti-discrimination laws or cultural settings in another country. Therefore, International Business education should prepare students to be institutional entrepreneurs to evaluate the algorithm against the laws, cultures and ethics of the host country to make global digital solutions locally legitimate.

2.3 Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle in Synthetic Global Environments

According to Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory, students need to achieve proficiency through the iterative process of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract concept and active experimentation [10]. Traditionally, it was difficult to provide students with concrete cross-border experience in the classroom due to cost of travel, political restrictions and confidentiality.
With the current AI simulation design, such limitations can be bypassed to provide high-fidelity synthetic global environments [11]. With RAG based on authentic international trade agreements, macroeconomic APIs and culturally tuned conversational agents, teachers can design virtual markets where students can actively experiment (e.g., mode of market entry, cross-border contract negotiation and sudden geopolitical shocks), then reflect and observe and conceptually understand.

3 SYSTEMIC PAIN POINTS IN LEGACY INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PEDAGOGY

A comprehensive diagnostic of conventional International Business curricula reveals four structural bottlenecks that impede graduate readiness in an AI-driven global economy:

3.1 Obsolescence of Static Country-Risk and Market-Entry Frameworks

Conventional teaching of IB uses static methods of analysis, for example, unweighted country risk scorecards and backward-looking PESTEL analysis, and considers markets of countries as static and stagnant. However, contemporary global business involves hyper-connected systemic uncertainties, whereby a change in regulation of semiconductor supply chain, data localization across borders, or algorithmic policies of platforms can render years of planning obsolete

[12]. Static methods of teaching do not allow students to develop the ability to anticipate and handle chain of cross-border risks.

3.2 Essentialist Cultural Taxonomies Without Pragmatic Immersion

Courses in cross-cultural management often simplify human societies to static numbers in terms of national cultures [13]. Although these macro-level cultural averages can be helpful in initial understanding, unreflective use of them leads to stereotyping and students are not equipped with the micro-level understanding of cross-border interaction, for example, indirect refusal tactics in high-context communication, handling virtual teams of multilingual asynchronously communicating members, or identifying cultural taboos in generative marketing materials.

3.3 Bifurcation Between Quantitative Analytics and Strategic Sensemaking

In many business schools, quantitative trade analysis (econometric gravity models, hedging formulas, etc.) is taught in a mechanical way separately and international strategy is taught through case study qualitatively. Students who do not have a combined training will either succumb to automation bias, i.e., they will accept easily the fluent AI generated predictions even if there are data issues, or will show technological cynicism and not recognize the empirical evidence [14].

3.4 Epistemic Vulnerability of Summative Writing Assessments

Conventional IB assessment includes mostly take-home essays on country analysis, literature review, and static case analysis. With contemporary generative models being able to generate believable strategic analysis within a few seconds, these conventional assessments are no longer able to distinguish between true understanding and delegating to AI [15]. In addition, prohibitive policies on using AI do not equip students with the ability to understand the environment where AI-human collaboration is expected.

4 ARCHITECTURAL FRAMEWORK: ADAPTIVE CURRICULUM CO-EVOLUTION AND EXPERIENTIAL ECOSYSTEM

In order to address these issues, we suggest a two-fold solution of an Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model and a Four Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem.

4.1 Pillar One: The Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model

Rather than treating curriculum revision as a rigid five-year administrative cycle, the Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model structures International Business programs into three dynamic, interlocking layers:
Enduring Core Layer (First Principles Disciplinary Knowledge): The enduring core layer focuses on teaching fundamental economic, strategic, and institutional knowledge such as international trade theory, FDI determinants, balance of payments, international commercial law, and comparative institutions. Importantly, the core content should be taught within AI-cognitively restricted areas in early semesters so that students are able to learn to analyze independently before using AI.
Translational Integration Layer (Functional AI Co-Piloting): In the functional courses of International Marketing, Global Supply Chain Management, International Finance, and Cross-Border E-Commerce, AI applications in these domains should be incorporated into the course learning objectives. Students should be able to use NLP for cross-lingual consumer sentiment analysis, predictive ML for global inventory management, and generative AI for local value proposition generation and validate the output with the domain knowledge.
Agile Frontier Layer (Co-Evolving Micro-Modules): Twenty percent of the senior level classes are modular and updated every six months by co-developing micro-credentials with industry professionals to adapt to the fast changes in technology and regulations. The content changes continuously to cover emerging areas including AI-Assisted Rules of Origin Compliance in Regional Trade Agreements, Cross Border Data Governance and Algorithmic Audits, and Generative AI in Global Live Stream Commerce.

4.2 Pillar Two: The Four-Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem

To operationalize this curriculum in daily teaching practice, institutions must construct a Four-Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem that progressively immerses students in realistic cross-border complexity:
Tier 1: Domain-Specific Socratic AI Tutors AI tutors are customized and domain-specific using Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) to course materials, WTO dispute decisions, and bilateral investment treaties and do not give direct answers but instead apply Socratic questioning to assess students' understanding, identify knowledge gaps, and adjust the level of analysis to students' level.
Tier 2: Synthetic Cross-Cultural Counterparty Negotiations Students negotiate orally and in writing with AI agents of different socio-linguistic backgrounds, reservation prices, and institutional limitations. After each negotiation session, a

practical analytics algorithm is automatically applied to assess students' level of cultural sensitivity, concession rate, and risk of contractual obligations.
Tier 3: Multi-Agent Geopolitical and Supply Chain Wargames Students run virtual MNEs in a simulation environment with host country regulators, customs, labor unions, activist investors, and competitors represented by autonomous AI agents. Once the instructor introduces an exogenous shock (e.g., unexpected tariff hike, maritime chokepoint blockage, or data localization requirement), students need to make real-time strategic decisions and see the system effect across quarters.
Tier 4: Privacy Preserving Enterprise Data Sandboxes With export manufacturers, cross-border logistics companies, and e-commerce platforms, institutions have created zero retention AI sandboxes. Students analyze corporate data (e.g., past customs declaration, overseas warehouse stock records, and multilingual customer comments) to address real-time business issues of corporate clients.

5 EMPIRICAL PRACTICE SCENARIOS IN CORE INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS COURSES

We present four examples of how the pedagogy is implemented in undergraduate and postgraduate International Business classes.

5.1 Scenario One: Algorithmic Trade Compliance and FTA Optimization Lab

Course Context: International Trade Operations and Customs Management
Implementation: It is common for MNEs to overpay in tariffs or customs duties due to misidentification of Harmonized System (HS) codes or not meeting the complicated Rules of Origin (ROO) requirements under multiple overlapping Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) like RCEP and CPTPP. In this case study, students are given multi-level BOMs of machinery products from East and Southeast Asia.
Human-AI: Students first compute manually the RVC and CTC for a base assembly without AI to understand the logic of the laws. Then, students apply a RAG Trade Compliance AI Workbench with FTA tariff tables and customs decisions to check fifty sub-components, simulate different regional sourcing options to have zero tariffs, and prepare origin certification packages. The instructor has purposely included some legal nuances (e.g., de minimis exemptions for certain products) where students need to identify and correct the AI's misreading of regulatory footnotes.
Learning Outcomes: They learn to move from rote learning of trade agreements to performing high-stakes operational compliance audits with critical skepticism regarding AI-generated legal content.

5.2 Scenario Two: Multi-Agent Geopolitical Shock Simulation

Course Context: Global Strategic Management
Pedagogical Design: Students play the role of an executive board of a company that manufactures renewable energy equipment with manufacturing plants in Asia, R&D centers in Europe, and distribution in North America.
Human-AI Interaction: During four rounds of simulation, the facilitator presents students with a series of geopolitical and regulatory disruptions (e.g., unexpected carbon border adjustment tariff, local content subsidy requirement, and critical mineral export license delays). Students analyze and propose different options (e.g., greenfield FDI vs JV, IP licensing, and nearshoring assembly) with the help of AI scenario modeling and provide strategic instructions. AI agents of ministries, unions, and institutional stakeholders of the host country analyze the students' instructions based on previous regulations and provide market share, cost of capital, and political risk.
Learning Outcomes: They learn to understand the impact of institutional polycentrism on multinational strategies and think in a dynamic way in the context of uncertainty.

5.3 Scenario Three: High-Context vs. Low-Context Cross-Border Negotiation Clinics

Course Context: Cross-Cultural Business Communication and Negotiation
Pedagogical Design: Peer-to-peer simulation encounters can be problematic as students have the same native language and cultural background. Therefore, students engage in AI Cultural Counterparty Clinics.
Human-AI Interaction: Students engage in multiple rounds of negotiation (exclusive distribution, IP, and force majeure) with two different AI counterparts: a high-context hierarchical senior executive who resists by using polite deferrals and a time-sensitive procurement director from a low-context legalistic culture. Afterwards, an analytics engine identifies the precise moments in the conversation where the students' cultural mistakes led to loss of trust or risk of contractual exposure. Students adjust their negotiation approach and re-negotiate the conversation.
Learning Outcomes: In post-session feedback, students reported improved skills in understanding socio-linguistic implicit cues and negotiating in different cultures at different speeds.

5.4 Scenario Four: Multilingual Consumer Sentiment Mining and Cultural Harm Auditing

Course Context: International Marketing Management
Pedagogical Design: Students collaborate with local export companies that want to enter Southeast Asian and European markets to perform fast market entry feasibility sprints.

Human-AI Interaction: Students gather and analyze thousands of vernacular consumer reviews and social media commentary on competing products with the help of AI Python pipelines in the sandbox environment and identify local pain points (e.g., packaging size, climate impact on durability, or trust in local payment methods) with the help of aspect-based sentiment analysis. Students then generate local brand copy and visuals with the help of multimodal generative models and subsequently must undergo a Cultural Harm and Compliance Audit to remove "translationese," religious insensitivity, or violation of local advertising laws.
Learning Outcomes: Export companies reported that the level of detail and commercial usefulness of the students' findings was appreciated, as some of the students' findings were used to change the exported product.

6 EVALUATION OF PEDAGOGICAL EFFECTIVENESS AND ASSESSMENT REDESIGN

6.1 Process-Oriented Triangulated Assessment

To prevent epistemic complacency and ensure valid competency measurement in an AI-pervasive environment, traditional summative essays were replaced with a Triangulated Process-Oriented Assessment Rubric:
Human-AI collaboration & hallucination audit portfolio (40%): Students provide annotated logs of prompt evolution, detection of hallucinated statistics or culturally skewed AI generated information, validation of information against credible primary source databases (e.g., UN Comtrade, IMF, WTO databases), and independent human validation.
Dynamic simulation & regulatory adaptation (30%): Quality of decisions, strategy alignment, and adaptability in multi-session geopolitical and cross-cultural simulations.
Live boardroom defense without AI (30%): Faculty and industry experts' oral exam and interrogation to test understanding of concepts and ability to communicate persuasively.

6.2 Multi-Cohort Implementation Findings

Longitudinal evaluation across multiple academic semesters—combining pre- and post-course diagnostic tests, rubric-based portfolio reviews, and employer internship feedback—demonstrated four consistent pedagogical gains:
From descriptive reports to causal analysis: Before the change, students' reports on market entry were mainly descriptive in nature using macro descriptions. After the change, the analysis was much more causal and students were able to connect tariff, currency, and cultural aspects to unit economics and viability.
Development of hallucination forensics: Since points are awarded for finding and fixing hallucinations, students stopped copy-pasting and started source checking diligently to build good digital literacy.
Improved experience for non-native speakers: Multilingual AI support and asynchronous negotiation clinics allowed international and non-native English speaking students to practice without fear and hence, they participated more in live oral boardroom defense.
Faster onboarding at work: Interns have noted that students taking the class needed much less time to onboard for conducting research on foreign markets, cross-border e-commerce, and multilingual communication. Although there are many advantages of using AI in teaching International Business, there are risks associated with unregulated use of AI that need to be mitigated by institutions. Commercial foundation models are mostly trained on English-speaking Western internet [16].

7 STRUCTURAL RISKS AND INSTITUTIONAL GOVERNANCE SAFEGUARDS

Using such AI models in International Business classes can lead to algorithmic homogenization wherein Western corporate governance is being implicitly standardized and institutions in emerging markets of Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East are being stereotyped or even erased.

7.1 Algorithmic Monoculture and Western-Centric Bias

Institutional mitigation: Institutions should require epistemic and linguistic diversity wherein regional multilingual AI models should be used along with global foundation models, primary source regional regulatory and academic databases should be used for RAG and Algorithmic Bias and Digital Colonialism should be taught as a part of International Business Ethics class.
Overuse of generative AI can lead to cognitive offloading where students offload the task of critical reading, estimation, and structuring to algorithms and hence have shallow understanding [17].

7.2 Cognitive Offloading and Atrophy of Foundational Reasoning

Institutional mitigation: There should be stringent developmental gatekeeping in the curriculum.
First-year and second-year introductory classes should have stringent requirements of being AI free in their analysis so that students are able to understand first principles of economics and financial modeling before using AI in synthesis studios.

7.3 Cross-Border Data Sovereignty and Intellectual Property Compliance

International Business projects require transnational data, proprietary data of enterprises in their supply chains, and data of consumers of other countries. Entering confidential data of a partner or personal data into a public cloud AI can lead to breach of extraterritorial privacy laws (e.g., GDPR of EU), data localization laws of a country, and non-disclosure agreement [18].

Institutional Guardrail: Implement Zero Retention Institutional AI Policy where live corporate sprint data should be anonymized before use in class and only run in institutionally or enterprise contracted private sandbox with contractual assurances that the model will not be trained on external data.

7.4 Faculty Digital Divide and Resource Asymmetry

Pedagogical change will not happen without faculty having technical assistance, time, and institutional support. Many IB scholars have in-depth theoretical knowledge but do not have experience in prompt design, RAG database design, or multi-agent simulation design. There is a risk of increased educational inequity between well-resourced research universities and local universities due to lack of resources.

Institutional Guardrail: There should be Interdisciplinary Pedagogy Innovation Studios whereby IB subject matter experts work alongside instructional technologists and graduate research fellows to co-design re-usable simulation templates and AI curriculum innovation should be acknowledged in faculty workload, tenure, and promotion. International academic communities should have open access to validated IB simulation agents and synthetic trade data worldwide.

8 CONCLUSION

Application of AI in global trade is changing the way in which global companies perceive opportunities, mitigate international risks, and work across cultures. IB education cannot be achieved by merely applying new tools or updating the syllabus; instead, it should undergo institutional and pedagogical change to enable Symbiotic Cross Border Intelligence.

Through the proposed Adaptive Curriculum Co-Evolution Model and Four-Tiered Experiential Learning Ecosystem, business schools can systematically bridge the divide between algorithmic fluency and deep institutional, legal, and cultural judgment. Empirical classroom practices across algorithmic trade compliance labs, multi-agent geopolitical wargames, cross-cultural negotiation clinics, and privacy-preserving enterprise data sprints demonstrate that when AI is structured as a Socratic cognitive partner and dynamic simulation engine, students develop superior causal reasoning, critical skepticism, and cross-cultural adaptability.

Ultimately, the goal of AI-empowered International Business education is not to automate away human judgment, but to elevate human agency within increasingly intelligent global systems. By anchoring technological innovation in rigorous first-principles thinking, ethical accountability, and genuine cross-cultural empathy, universities can prepare a new generation of global leaders capable of navigating—and responsibly governing—an interconnected, AI-driven world economy.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Second Batch of Undergraduate Education and Teaching Reform Projects under Zhejiang Province's "14th Five-Year Plan": Exploration and Practice of Artificial Intelligence Empowering the Teaching of International Business (Project No.: JGBA2024601), awarded to Dr. Bofan He.

REFERENCES

[1] Ghemawat P. Distance still matters: The hard reality of global expansion. Harvard Business Review, 2001, 79(8): 137-147.
[2] Cui Y, van Esch P, Jain S P. Artificial intelligence in international business: A systematic review and research agenda. Journal of International Business Studies, 2023, 54(8): 1421-1445.
[3] Luo Y. A general framework of digitization risks in international business. Journal of International Business Studies, 2022, 53(2): 344-361.
[4] Brynjolfsson E, Li D, Raymond L R. Generative AI at work. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, 2023: 31161.
[5] Ghauri P, Strange R, Cooke F L. Research on international business: The new realities. International Business Review, 2021, 30(2): 101794.
[6] Hutchins E. Cognition in the Wild. MIT Press, 1995.
[7] Dell'Acqua F, McFowland III E, Mollick E R, et al. Navigating the jagged technological frontier: Field experimental evidence of the effects of AI on knowledge worker productivity and quality. Harvard Business School Technology & Operations Management Unit Working Paper, 2023: 24-013.

[8] DiMaggio P J. Interest and agency in institutional theory. In: Zucker L G, ed. Institutional Patterns and Organizations: Culture and Environment. Ballinger Publishing, 1988: 3-21.
[9] Kostova T, Zaheer S. Organizational legitimacy under conditions of complexity: The case of the multinational enterprise. Academy of Management Review, 1999, 24(1): 64-81.
[10] Kolb D A. Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Prentice-Hall, 1984.
[11] Mollick E R, Mollick L. Assigning AI: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. The Wharton School Research Paper Series, 2023.
[12] Witt M A. De-globalization: Theories, predictions, and opportunities for international business research. Journal of International Business Studies, 2019, 50(7): 1053-1077.
[13] Tung R L, Stahl G K. The tortuous evolution of the role of culture in IB research: What we know, what we don't know, and where we are heading. Journal of International Business Studies, 2018, 49(9): 1167-1189.
[14] Parasuraman R, Manzey D H. Complacency and bias in human use of automation: An attentional integration. Human Factors, 2010, 52(3): 381-410.
[15] Kasneci E, Sessler K, Küchemann S, et al. ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 2023, 103: 102274.
[16] Bender E M, Gebru T, McMillan-Major A, et al. On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 2021: 610-623.
[17] Risko E F, Gilbert S J. Cognitive offloading. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2016, 20(9): 676-688.
[18] Bradford A. The Brussels Effect: How the European Union Rules the World. Oxford University Press, 2020.